IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

TUESDAY, THE 21ST AUGUST 2007 / 30TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 25454 of 2007(E)

PETITIONER:

BINEESH V.S.
VAKAVAYALIL HOUSE
PULIYANMALA.P.O.
KATTAPPANA
IDUKKI

BY ADV. SRI.DENU JOSEPH

RESPONDENT:

MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
PRIYADARSHINI HILLS P.O.,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR.

BY ADV.SRI.T.A.SHAJI, S.C., M.G.UNIVERISTY.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J

W.P.(C) No.25454 of 2007

Dated this the 21st day of August, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner claims that he has applied for the post of Technical Assistant in the School of Bio Science under the Mahatma Gandhi University at Kottayam. It is contended by the petitioner that he has got the requisite qualifications and experience to hold the post. But he apprehends that University may not consider Exts.P4 and P5 experience certificate, which according to the petitioner, will have a definite bearing as far as experience requisite for the post is concerned. Any how I do not propose to deal with any of the contentions raised by the petitioner, at this stage, in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. He submits that petitioner has preferred Ext.P6 highlighting all the relevant aspects of the issue before the respondent. The only prayer is to issue a direction to the respondent to take a decision on Ext.P6 expeditiously, at any rate before the selection process is completed.

2. Learned standing counsel submits that interview was admittedly over, as could be seen from the writ petition itself, on 26-7-07. He is not in a position now, to inform the court whether the selection

process is already completed or not. Anyhow he submits that a prompt decision on Ext.P6 will be taken expeditiously.

3. In the above facts and circumstances the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider and pass orders on Ext.P6 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention, petitioner shall be afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter.

4. Petitioner shall produce a certified copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the respondent for compliance.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jp